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General-All project documents including contract documents, drawings, and specifications, shall remain unchanged
with the exception of those elements added, revised, deleted, or clarified by this addendum.
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2-1 Response to questions submitted (questions 6-8)

6. Specification section 01 91 13, section 1.02 lists additional systems to be commissioned when compared to the scope listed in the RFP, e.g., electrical, electronic safety and security, communications, and IAQ procedures. Please advise which document and associated scope is to be used for the basis of the proposal.
   Response:
   a. TAS renovation specification Section 01 91 13 is noted as Contractors responsibilities for commissioning.
   b. Specification section 01 91 14 ‘Commissioning Authority Responsibilities’, is for the Commissioning Firm.
   c. The proposals both the A400 and TAS renovation are to include the commissioning scope of work defined in the RFQ, RFP. Addendum 01 and specification section 01 91 14, whichever is more stringent.

7. In conjunction with question 1, the A400 50% DD set only shows the packaged rooftop heat pumps for mechanical. CRAC’s and supply/exhaust fans as mentioned in the systems narratives are not shown. No plumbing sheets are included. Please advise if this set is reflective of the current design and should be used for bidding purposes.
   Response:
   a. The A400 drawings are the 50% design development as noted in the RFP and Addenda 01. They are to be used in conjunction with the project criteria to establish a minimum plumbing, mechanical, electrical, MEP equipment, etc. scope of work.
   b. The proposal is to include all costs for commissioning a typical project of this type, and is not to be reduced due to the current state of drawings, which due not fully reflect the project criteria.
   c. Pricing is to include ‘Not–to Exceed’ commissioning costs for the FULL project with the MEP and construction documents fully developed.

8. Drawings M002 thru M102 for TAS do not quantify the lab supply/exhaust terminal units. Please provide these quantities.
   Response:
   a. Commissioning requirements are to be based on current documents, basis of design, and full MEP requirements for a typical 9.6 million dollar construction project of this type.
   b. Pricing is to include ‘Not–to Exceed’ commissioning costs for the FULL project with the MEP and construction documents fully developed.
2-2 Additional information requested for Submitting Qualification Proposal section 4 – Experience & Section 5 - Personnel

In addition to the proposal requirements, Include the following in your proposal section 4 – Experience and proposal section 5, Personnel.

### 4 - Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years in business (min 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Number of College University Projects in past 5 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1-5 million Construction Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-10 million Construction Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 100 million Construction Value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provide list of project construction costs, type, dates, locations, amounts, and reference information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Termination in last 5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Litigation in last 5 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5 - Personnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of In-house commissioning agents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of in-house commissioning agents &gt; 5 years commissioning experience.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>