



South Orange County Community College District

RFQ&P No. 2869-2021:

Engineering Services for 12kV Systems Upgrade at Saddleback College

**Addendum No. Three (3)
October 13, 2021**

Nick Newkirk
Purchasing and Contracts Manager

Note:

All documents remain unchanged except section or parts added to, revised, deleted and/or clarified by this Addendum.

1. The responses to the Requests for Information received as well as the responses to the questions asked during the optional pre-proposal meeting on August 17, 2021 are shown below:

Q1: Is there an estimated budget for the 12 Kilovolt Systems Upgrade project? As well as an anticipated start date if available.

A1: The budget will depend on the Statements of Probable Costs developed under Phase1, Task 1 for the substation upgrades and 12 kV circuit upgrades and the needs of the Owner.

Q2: The RFP calls for 10 Substations, but when I looked at the single line diagram I see 14 substations and a main 12KV service entrance switch. Some of the names don't match between the list below and the single line diagram attached. Since the scope of the services is just developing a BOD it shouldn't change whether the BOD applies to only 10 vs 14 substations.

A2: Some of the original substations have been replaced or upgraded. A simpler single line diagram is attached to this Addendum No.2.

Q3: Clarification also needed regarding Q&A #11 from Addendum No. 2: It seems to be that only Two Substations HV1 and HV2 are part of the design. The "answer" does not indicate work on the remaining "8" substations. Please clarify.

A3: Work on the remaining eight substations will be designed and performed at a later date when funding is available.

Q4: Will the nature of the contract redlines submitted be considered in the selection? In other words, does acceptance of the terms increase a firms' chances?

A4: The contract redlines will not be directly scored, but will be considered during the evaluation of proposals, shortlisting of firms, final firm selection, and contract negotiations.

Q5: When was the existing ductbank installed for HV-1 and HV-2? Is it reusable for the recabing of HV-1 and HV-2?

A5: The existing ductbank for HV-1 and HV-2 was installed approximately 40 years ago. The existing cabling was routed through the existing ductbank approximately 15 years ago. For the awarded firm, the scope of services shall include the inspection of manholes for serviceability and recommendations for repair and/or replacement. The design for any recommendations for repair and/or replacement shall be included in the scope of services for the awarded Firm.

Q6: Is the district expectation for an electrical contractor to perform tests such as breaker tests, insulation test, and Hi Pot for the evaluation of existing conditions under Phase 1, Task1?

A6: Yes. The awarded firm shall be responsible to subcontract with an electrical contractor to perform tests such as breaker tests, insulation test, and Hi Pot for the evaluation of existing conditions under Phase 1, Task 1.

Q7: For the Phase 2' % of construction fee, can we assume that that % of construction fee based on the final construction cost therefore our contract fee be trued up or down?

A7: Per Revised Proposal Form D, Firms shall provide a Total Not to Exceed Percentage of Statement of Probable Construction Costs (Including All Hard and Soft Costs). The amount of the final construction cost will not change the agreed upon percentage prior to issuance of a Notice to Proceed for Phase 2 Task 1 and/or Phase 2 Task 2 services. The District reserves the right to negotiate and finalize the fee for Phase 2 Task 1 and/or Phase 2 Task 2 services prior to the issuance of the notice to proceed with Phase 2 Task 1 and/or Phase 2 Task 2 services.

Q8: Has the utility provider been notified of this project? Do we have a dedicated Utility representative for the District?

A8: The utility provider has not been notified of this project. The college has a dedicated representative for the District.

Q9: There was mention of a PV solar agreement. Will the design firm be required to coordinate any Rule 21 agreements?

A9: The awarded firm shall not be required to coordinate the Rule 21 agreement language, however they should anticipate receiving information (including but not limited to anticipated solar generation from 4 locations on campus and EV charging station loads) from the solar project to be included in the design.

Q10: Does the campus expect to add any cogeneration in the future?

A10: No.

Q11: Will the design need to consider the reorganization of the existing cogen system?

A11: The cogen will be taken into account and will be discussed during the programming phase to include the schedule for cogen decommissioning.

