



**South Orange County Community College District**

**RFQ&P No. 3006-2021:  
DSA project Inspection Services  
Saddleback College Gateway Building Project  
Irvine Valley College Fine Arts Building Project**

**Addendum No. One (1)**

**May 12, 2021**

***Nick Newkirk***  
**Purchasing and Contracts Manager**

**Note:**

**All documents remain unchanged except section or parts added to, revised, deleted and/or clarified by this Addendum.**

1. The responses to the Requests for Information received as well as the responses to the questions asked during the mandatory pre-proposal meeting on May 10, 2021 are shown below:

Q1: What are the estimated start dates for the projects?

A1: Both projects are tentatively scheduled to start in August of 2021. Both projects are currently under review with the State Chancellor's office and the District is awaiting authorization to bid.

Q2: What is the estimated duration for both projects?

A2: 24 months for the Gateway project and 22 months for the Fine Arts project.

Q3: Have both projects received DSA approval?

A3: Yes, however both projects are currently under review with the State Chancellor's office and the District is awaiting authorization to bid.

Q4: I've gone over the RFQ&P and saw that the projects are scheduled to start 7/1/2021. I want to confirm that this start date is for both projects.

A4: See A1.

Q5: I read under the Scope of Services for Project 2, the anticipated duration will be 22 months. I could not find a timeframe for Project 1. I read in Appendix D, under Terms of Service, the term timeframe will be 24 months. Is this the timeframe we should use? If so, for which project? I wanted to confirm the timeframe for each project.

A5: See A2.

Q6: I would also like to clarify if a full time inspector (8 hours) is needed for both projects. If not, what is the time allocation needed for both projects. If it is part time, are the hours flexible.

A6: Yes, one full time Class 1 inspector is required for each of the projects. If an Assistant Project Inspector is required during a specific phase of the projects, then the District may elect to utilize the District Controlled Allowance to retain the Assistant Project Inspector. Resumes and interviews would be conducted upon the District's decision to retain an Assistant Project Inspector. A revised Proposal Form D Fee and Rate Proposal is attached to this Addendum to reflect the revised District Controlled Allowance amount and specific usage.

Q7: Are firms able to propose DSA Inspection services for only one of the two projects?

A7: Yes. A revised Proposal Form D Fee and Rate Proposal is attached reflecting Firm's ability to propose on one or both projects. If a firm is proposing on only one of the two projects, then the proposal forms must clearly indicate which project is being proposed on.

Q8: If firms are able to propose services on only one of the two projects, please confirm that we will leave the fee and rate proposal blank on Proposal Form D for the project we are not submitting on.

A8: Confirmed. A revised Proposal Form D Fee and Rate Proposal is attached to this Addendum.

Q9: To confirm, since the District is looking for one Inspector per project, firms would only need to propose one Inspector for each project location?

A9: Confirmed, however firms may propose more than one inspector for either or both projects for the District's review and evaluation. Only one inspector will be retained for each project. If an Assistant Project Inspector is required during a specific phase of the projects, then the District may elect to utilize the District Controlled Allowance to retain the Assistant Project Inspector. Resumes and interviews would be conducted upon the District's decision to retain an Assistant Project Inspector. A revised Proposal Form D Fee and Rate Proposal is attached to this Addendum to reflect the revised District Controlled Allowance amount and specific usage.

Q10: On the cover letter, the RFQ&P requests that we provide all the named people who will actually perform the work when the Program begins. Outside of DSA Class I Inspectors, does the District need any additional CM support?

A10: The District is seeking DSA Project Inspection services only.

Q11: On Proposal Forms B and C, it denotes to include a separate proposal form for projects 1 and 2, but neither forms are fillable / editable. Could you please clarify what is meant by "separate proposal form?" Are we to fill out a form, or provide the requested information from each form using our own template?

A11: Firms are required to respond to all of the items on Proposal Forms B and C for the applicable project and label the form clearly to indicate which project is being responded to. The form can be duplicated so long as all of the information remains exactly the same. If a firm elects to only propose on one of the two projects, then the form shall be clearly labeled to indicate the respective project.

Q12: Have these projects been assigned DSA numbers? If so, can you provide them?

A12: Yes. The DSA number for Gateway is 04-119341. The DSA number for Fine Arts is 04-119353. The DSA approved drawings, specifications and DSA Form 103 for both projects are provided in the links below:

- [Saddleback College Gateway Building: DSA approved drawings, specifications and DSA Form 103](#)
- [Irvine Valley College Fine Arts Building: DSA approved drawings, specifications and DSA Form 103](#)

Q13: What is the estimated construction cost for both projects?

A13: The estimated construction cost for Gateway is \$45,000,000. The estimated construction cost for Fine Arts is \$41,000,000.

Q14: Will interviews be with just the proposed inspectors?

A14: The interviews will be conducted with the firm's principal and proposed project inspectors.

Q15: Can one specific inspector be submitted for both projects? Or do you need to choose one project?

A15: A specific inspector can be submitted for both projects, however only one inspector will be selected for each project.

Q16: Are these projects dependent on receiving state funding? has state already allocated funding for these projects?

A16: State funding has been approved for both projects, however both projects are currently under review with the State Chancellor's office and the District is awaiting authorization to bid.